

Exp. stable if $\exists c, k, \lambda > 0: \|z(t)\| \leq k \|z(t_0)\| e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} \quad \forall \|z(t_0)\| \leq c$
 Globally exp. stable if exp. stable for any initial condition.

Comparison Functions

Class K_a : $\alpha: [0, a) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ s.t. $\alpha(0) = 0$, α strictly increasing

Class K_∞ : $\alpha: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ s.t. $\alpha(0) = 0$, α strictly increasing, $\alpha(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} \infty$

class K_{al} : $\beta: [0, a) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ s.t. $\forall s \in [0, \infty): \beta(r, s) \in K_a$
 $\forall r \in [0, a): \beta(r, s)$ decreasing, $\xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} 0$

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K_a \Rightarrow \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \in K_a$; $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K_\infty \Rightarrow \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \in K_\infty$.

$\alpha \in K_a \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} \in K_{\alpha(a)}$; $\alpha \in K_\infty \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} \in K_\infty$.

$\beta \in K_{al}, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K_a \Rightarrow \alpha_1(\beta(\alpha_2(r), s)) \in K_{al}$.

$V: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cont, +ve-definite, $\exists r > 0: B_r \subset D, \exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K_r: \alpha_1(\|z\|) \leq V(z) \leq \alpha_2(\|z\|)$

Special case. $\lambda_{\min}(P) \|z\|^2 \leq z^T P z \leq \lambda_{\max}(P) \|z\|^2$ when $P > 0$.

To have $B_\delta \subseteq \Omega_\beta \subseteq B_r$ choose δ, β s.t. $\alpha_2(\delta) \leq \beta \leq \alpha_1(r)$. Then,

$[V(z) \leq \beta \Rightarrow \alpha_1(\|z\|) \leq \alpha_1(r) \Leftrightarrow \|z\| \leq r] \Leftrightarrow [B_\beta \subseteq B_r]$.

$[\|z\| \leq \delta \Leftrightarrow \alpha_2(\|z\|) \leq \alpha_2(\delta) \Rightarrow V(z) \leq \beta] \Leftrightarrow [B_\delta \subseteq \Omega_\beta]$.

Also if $\dot{V} < 0 \Leftrightarrow -\dot{V} > 0$. Then $r > 0$ can be chosen s.t. $\exists \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in K_r: \alpha_3(\|z\|) \leq -\dot{V} \leq \alpha_4(\|z\|)$

$\Rightarrow -\dot{V} \leq \alpha_3(\|z\|)$. Also, $V \leq \alpha_2(\|z\|) \Leftrightarrow \alpha_2^{-1}(V) \leq \|z\| \Leftrightarrow \alpha_3(\alpha_2^{-1}(V)) \leq \alpha_3(\|z\|)$.

$\Rightarrow \dot{V} \leq -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^{-1}(V)) \Rightarrow V(z(t)) \leq \beta(V(z(0)), t)$, where $\beta \in K_{rl}$.

$\Rightarrow V \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$

\rightarrow Uses the result that, $\dot{y} = -\alpha(y) \Rightarrow y(t) = \beta(y_0, t-t_0)$. ($\alpha \in K_r, \beta \in K_{rl}$).

Further, $V(z(t)) \leq V(z(0)) \Rightarrow \alpha_1(\|z(t)\|) \leq V(z(t)) \leq V(z(0)) \leq \alpha_2(\|z(0)\|)$

$\Rightarrow \|z(t)\| \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2(\|z(0)\|))$.

Also, $V(z(t)) \leq \beta(V(z(0)), t) \Rightarrow \alpha_1(\|z(t)\|) \leq V(z(t)) \leq \beta(V(z(0)), t) \leq \beta(\alpha_2(\|z(0)\|), t)$

$\Rightarrow \|z(t)\| \leq \alpha_1^{-1}[\beta(\alpha_2(\|z(0)\|), t)]$.

Thus using comparison functions facts used in Thm 4.1 can be derived, and even more can be deduced.

Stability for $\dot{z} = f(t, z)$ with $0 = f(t, 0), \forall t \geq t_0$ (origin is eq. at $t = t_0$)

0 stable if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta = \delta(\epsilon, t_0) > 0: \|z(t_0)\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|z(t)\| < \epsilon, \forall t \geq t_0$ (sectop)

0 uniformly stable if $\delta = \delta(\epsilon)$.

Similarly asy. stable, uniformly asymp. stable; globally uniformly asymp. stable. \uparrow

Stability results for time-varying systems

Representation using comparison fns.

- uniformly stable $\Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in \mathcal{K}_c: \|z(t)\| \leq \alpha(\|z(t_0)\|), \forall t \geq t_0, \forall \|z(t_0)\| \leq c.$
- unif. asy. stable $\Leftrightarrow \exists \beta \in \mathcal{K}_L: \|z(t)\| \leq \beta(\|z(t_0)\|, t-t_0), \forall t \geq t_0, \forall \|z(t_0)\| \leq c.$

Condition for uniform stability: $V: [0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cont. diff. s.t. $\forall t \geq 0, \forall z \in D$:

- i) $W_1(z) \leq V(z) \leq W_2(z)$, ii) $\dot{V}(t, z) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} f \leq 0$, where $W_1, W_2 \geq 0$, cont. on D .
Then unif. stable.

Condition for unif. asy. stability: $V: [0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $W_1, W_2, W_3 > 0$ & cont. over D s.t.

- $\forall t \geq 0, \forall z \in D$: i) $W_1(z) \leq V(t, z) \leq W_2(z)$, ii) $\dot{V} \leq -W_3(z)$. Then unif. asymp. stable.

Further for $B_r \subset D$ and $c < \min_{\|z\|=r} W_1(z)$, $z(t_0) \in \mathcal{L}_c \Rightarrow \|z(t)\| \leq \beta(\|z(t_0)\|, t-t_0), \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0$
 $\beta \in \mathcal{K}_L$.

Finally if $D = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $W_1(z)$ is radially unbounded \Rightarrow globally uniformly asymp. stable.

Condition for exp. stability: $V: [0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $K_1, K_2, K_3, a > 0$ s.t.

- $\forall t \geq 0, \forall z \in D$: (i) $K_1 \|z\|^a \leq V(t, z) \leq K_2 \|z\|^a$, (ii) $\dot{V} \leq -K_3 \|z\|^a$

Then exp. stable. Further if $D = \mathbb{R}^n$, then globally exp. stable.

Specialization to linear system / comparison to linear system or linearized system

- i) Suppose $f: [0, \infty) \times D$, where $D = \{z \mid \|z\| < r\}$, cont. diff. with $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$ bounded and Lipschitz on D . Then 0 is exp. stable for f iff 0 is exp. stable for $A(t) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0}$.

- ii) For linear system, 0 is (globally) exp. stable iff 0 is (globally) unif. asy. stable
iff $\exists K, \lambda > 0: \|\underbrace{\Phi(t, t_0)}_{\text{state-transition matrix}}\| \leq Ke^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0.$

Note for nonlinear systems, exp. stability is stronger than unif. asymp. stability.

Converse Lyapunov Theorems: These establish the existence of a suitable Lyapunov fn. of the type discussed above under various stability properties and certain assumptions on the system.

- (i) $f: [0, \infty) \times D$, where $D = \{z \mid \|z\| < r\}$, cont. diff. with $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$ ~~unif. family~~ bdd on D . If 0 is unif. asymp. stable, then $\exists V: [0, \infty) \times D_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($D_0 \subset D$ and depends on domain of unif. asymp. stability) s.t. properties of V as in suff. condition above hold. (Similar for exp. stability)

Examples of stability properties

Example 1:

Consider
$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_1 = -z_1 - g(t) z_2 \\ \dot{z}_2 = z_1 - z_2 \end{cases} \text{ with } 0 \leq g(t) \leq k \text{ and } \dot{g}(t) \leq g(t), \forall t \geq 0$$

Let $V(t, z) = z_1^2 + [1 + g(t)] z_2^2$. Then, $z_1^2 + z_2^2 \leq V(t, z) \leq z_1^2 + (1+k) z_2^2$.

So, $V(t, z) \geq z_1^2 + z_2^2 > 0$ (is "positive definite") and is "radially unbounded".
 $V(t, z) \leq z_1^2 + (1+k) z_2^2$ (is "decreasing")

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t, z) &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} f = \dot{g}(t) z_2^2 + 2z_1 [-z_1 - g(t) z_2] + 2[1 + g(t)] z_2 (z_1 - z_2) \\ &= -2z_1^2 + 2z_1 z_2 - 2z_2^2 + 2g(t) z_2 z_1 - \dot{g}(t) z_2^2 = -2[z_1^2 + z_1 z_2 + z_2^2 (1 + g(t) - \dot{g}(t))] \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 + g(t) - \dot{g}(t) \geq 1$, $\dot{V}(t, z) \leq -2[z_1^2 + z_1 z_2 + z_2^2] = -\begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}$

Since $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} > 0$, $\dot{V}(t, z) \leq -z^T \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} z < 0$.

It follows that the system is globally asymptotically stable.

Further since $\lambda_{\min}(P) \|z\|^2 \leq z^T P z \leq \lambda_{\max}(P) \|z\|^2$ for any $P > 0$, system is globally exponentially stable.

Example 2:

$$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 1.5 \cos^2 t & 1 - 1.5 \sin^2 t \\ -1 - 1.5 \sin^2 t & -1 + 1.5 \sin^2 t \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \lambda(A(t)) = \pm 0.25 \pm 0.25\sqrt{7}j \text{ (independent of } t \text{)}$$

So eigen values of $A(t)$ in LHP for all t . However,

$$\Phi(t, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{.5t} \cos t & e^{-t} \sin t \\ -e^{.5t} \sin t & e^t \cos t \end{bmatrix} \text{ which is unbounded} \Rightarrow \text{not exp. stable.}$$

Boundedness & Ultimate Boundedness

In some cases solution may remain bounded even though no eq. exists.

Example: $\dot{z} = -z + \delta \sin t$, $z(t_0) = a > \delta > 0$. Has no eq. pt.

Solution $z(t) = e^{-(t-t_0)} a + \delta \int_{t_0}^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \sin \tau d\tau$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow |z(t)| &\leq e^{-(t-t_0)} a + \delta \int_{t_0}^t e^{-(t-\tau)} d\tau = e^{-(t-t_0)} a + \delta [1 - e^{-(t-t_0)}] \\ &= e^{-(t-t_0)} (a - \delta) + \delta \leq a \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $z(t)$ is bounded; bound is uniform (does not depend on t_0).

The bound is conservative since does not consider the exp. decay. In fact, $\forall b \in (\delta, a)$: $|z(t)| \leq b \quad \forall t \geq t_0 + \ln\left(\frac{a-\delta}{b-\delta}\right)$. b an "ultimate bound".